UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

CASE NO. 1:12-CR-321

Plaintiff,

v.

OPINION & ORDER

[Resolving Doc. 27]

HERMAN CARPENTER,

:

Defendant.

JAMES S. GWIN, UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE:

On February 16, 2016, Herman Carpenter requested the appointment of counsel for assistance with filing a habeas motion under Title 28 United States Code Section 2255 in light of the Supreme Court's June 2015 decision in *Johnson v. United States*. This Court has reviewed the merits of Carpenter's potential *Johnson* claim and **DENIES** the motion for appointment of counsel.

I. Discussion

The appointment of counsel in a civil proceeding is not a constitutional right and is justified only by exceptional circumstances.²

Carpenter would only have a potentially viable *Johnson* claim if his base offense level was increased because of a prior conviction that was a crime of violence only under the residual clause.

In calculating Herman Carpenter's base offense level, the pre-sentence report listed two "felony convictions of either a crime of violence or a controlled substance offense, to wit:

¹ *Johnson v. United States*, 135 S. Ct. 2551 (2015) (holding that imposing an increased sentence under the residual clause of the Armed Career Criminal Act (ACCA) violates the Constitution's guarantee of due process.).

² Lavado v. Keohane, 992 F.2d 601, 606 (6th Cir. 1993).

Case: 1:12-cr-00321-JG Doc #: 28 Filed: 03/17/16 2 of 2. PageID #: 152

Case No. 12-CR-321

Gwin, J.

Trafficking in Drugs in Cuyahoga County Common Pleas Court in Case #CR-04-451155 and

Aggravated Assault in Cuyahoga County Common Pleas Court in Case #CR-07-503002."³

The controlled substance offense, Trafficking in Drugs, is not affected by the *Johnson*

decision because it was not classified as a crime of violence. As to the aggravated assault felony,

the Sixth Circuit has found that aggravated assault is a crime of violence outside of the residual

clause. In *United States v. Anderson*, the Sixth Circuit held, "we find that aggravated assault in

Ohio is a 'violent felony' under §924(e)(2)(B)(i) because it 'has as an element the use, attempted

use, or threatened use of physical force against the person of another." Because the Sixth

Circuit has found that aggravated assault is a crime of violence outside of the residual clause,

aggravated assault would remain as a predicate violent felony in Carpenter's sentencing, and

Carpenter's offense level would thus not change post- *Johnson*.

Carpenter has not shown that he has a meritorious *Johnson* claim. He cannot demonstrate

that his civil 2255 proceeding is an exceptional circumstance warranting appointment of counsel.

II. Conclusion

For the reasons above, this Court **DENIES** the Plaintiff's motion seeking the

appointment of counsel.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: March 17, 2016

James S. Gwin

JAMES S. GWIN

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

³ Presentence Investigation Report at ¶16.

⁴ United States v. Anderson, 695 F.3d 390, 400 (6th Cir. 2012).

-2-